

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED 1700 TC 1700

In re Application of:

Takahiro Nishiyama et al

Confirmation No. 7639

Serial No.: 09/901,597

Group Art Unit: 1732

Filed: July 11, 2001

Examiner: Edmund H. Lee

For:

METHOD FOR MANUFACTURING FUEL TRANSPORTING HOSE

## **TRANSMITTAL**

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Date: October 21, 2003

Sir:

In Response to the Notice dated September 29, 2003 (copy attached), applicant submits herewith an Amendment in response to the Office Action dated June 16, 2003.

In the event this paper is not timely filed, the undersigned hereby petitions for an appropriate extension of time. The fee for this extension may be charged to Deposit Account No. 01-2340, along with any other additional fees which may be required with respect to this paper.

Respectfully submitted,

ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP

Donald W. Hanson Attorney for Applicants Reg. No. 27,133

Atty. Docket No. 010760 Suite 1000,1725 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 659-2930 DWH/rab

23850

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/901,597	07/11/2001	Takahiro Nishiyama	010760	7639
23850 7.	590 09/29/2003			6
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP			. EXAMINER	
1725 K STREE SUITE 1000		MEGELVE	LEE, EDMUND H	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	:		1732	
		SEP 3 Q 2003	DATE MAILED: 09/29/2003	
	Ai Ai	SMSTRCNO, WES LENIMAN, HATTORI.	1 mo Response Due October 29, 2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



RECEIVED OCT 23 2003 TC 1706



Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property an Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Offic Washington, DC 2022

Paper NRECEIVEL

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

The amendment document filed on 9-2203 is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirement.

CFR 1.121, as amended on June 30, 2003 (see 68 Fed. Reg. 38611, Jun. 30, 2003). In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following omission(s) or provision is required. Only the section (1.121(h)) of the amendment

OCT 2 3 2003

document containing the omission or non-compliant provision must be resubmitted (in its entirety), e.g., the entire "Amendments to the claims" section of applicant's amendment document must be re-submitted. THE FOLLOWING CHECKED (X) ELEMENTS(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT: 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. П C. Other  $\Box$ 2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. B. Other 3. Amendments to the drawings: 4. Amendments to the claims: A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present.

C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim.

For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP Sec. 714 and the USPTO website at <a href="http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/officeflyer.pdf">http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/officeflyer.pdf</a>.

B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all claims (incl. withdrawn claims)

If the non-compliant amendment is a PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT, applicant is given ONE MONTH from the mail date of this lefter to supply the corrected section which complies with 37 CFR 1.121. Failure to comply with 37 CFR 1.121 will result in non-entry of the preliminary amendment and examination on the merits will commence without consideration of the proposed changes in the preliminary amendment(s). This notice is not an action under 35 U.S.C. 132, and this ONE MONTH time limit is not extendable.

D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order.

If the non-compliant amendment is a reply to a NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION, and since the amendment appears to be a bona fide attempt to be a reply (37 CFR 1.135(c)), applicant is given a TIME PERIOD of ONE MONTH from the mailing of this notice within which to re-submit the corrected section which complies with 37 CFR 1.121 in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD ARE AVAILABLE UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

If the amendment is a reply to a FINAL REJECTION, this form may be an attachment to an Advisory Action. The period for response to a final rejection continues to run from the date set in the final rejection, and is not affected by the non-compliant status of the amendment.

gal Instruments Examiner (LIE)

cannot be identified.

E. Other:

July 22, 2003 (rev.)